





Knowledge Brief

CLOSING THE FUNDING GAP

Improving financing and resourcing of protected and conserved areas in Eastern and Southern Africa

Protected and conserved areas play a key role in protecting biological diversity and ecosystem services upon which people depend. These areas need reliable and sustainable sources of funding to maintain their daily management operations, meet conservation targets, allow quality visitor experiences, where appropriate, and provide benefits to communities living in proximity to the conservation areas (Convention on Biological Diversity, 2018).



It is evident that most protected and conserved areas in Eastern and Southern Africa face a significant funding gap. Available funding for protected area management only satisfies approximately 10-20% of management needs in Africa. There is a clear need to diversify and increase self-generated revenues and develop innovative finance mechanisms. The 2020 COVID-19 crisis is only exacerbating the gap in funding for protected areas and provides a harsh reminder of the need for revenue diversification.

Despite the clear lack of financial resources for the effective management of the existing protected areas, there is a need to increase the protected area estate to adequately conserve Africa's biological diversity and ecosystem services. The potential expansion of protected areas (new Convention on Biological Diversity potential targets require 30% of the world surface) will require an increase in funding for conservation management and put additional pressure on the already stretched budgets of those that traditionally fund conservation work such as governments, donor agencies and conservation organisations.

Approximately USD 100 billion to 400 billion are required annually to fund global nature conservation. Currently only USD 49 billion are spent on biodiversity protection worldwide, with only 6% in Africa. Even if government and donor funding is doubled, this financing gap will not be met without involvement from the private sector and moving beyond dependence on traditional funding sources.

This is especially true in developing regions, where conservation funding currently competes with other development objectives, such as infrastructure, education and public health.

Donors & Implementing Partners











This publication has been produced with support of the 11th EDF.
The contents of this publication are the sole responsibility of the
International Union for Conservation of Nature and the European
Commission's Joint Research Centre and can in no way be taken to
reflect the views of the European Union nor of the ACP Group of States.



Traditional sources of funding

Traditional sources of funding for conservation of protected areas in Eastern and Southern Africa include **government** and **donor** support as well as **self-generated revenue**, such as fees collected from **nature-based tourism or the utilization of wildlife** through hunting and wildlife ranching. These sources alone are inadequate to bridge the funding gap. Protected areas are therefore increasingly underperforming and will become more dependent on self-generated revenue.

Conservation Trust Funds, Debt for Nature Swaps, Payment for Ecosystem Services, Biodiversity Offsets, Collaborative Management and Public-Private Partnerships are financing mechanisms that have existed for the last two decades and have been used in Eastern and Southern Africa but have not been widely replicated and implemented at scale.

The lack of uptake of these innovative models is due to a number of factors, including capital requirements, lack of capacity and technical expertise to design, develop and execute these mechanisms and absence of policies and enabling environments that support the development of such financing models.

Innovative finance for nature conservation is a rapidly evolving space. There are various new and innovative financing mechanisms and initiatives being designed, developed and/or implemented in an attempt to increase the available finance for conservation globally, such as: Outcomesbased financing mechanisms, Green and Blue bonds, Tax incentives, and Project Finance for Permanence.

These mechanisms require assembling specialist skill sets, engagement by various stakeholders including government, civil society, communities and the private sector, and socio-political enabling conditions.

The Closing the Gap report was written prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, which has resulted in the shut-down of the tourism industry and a significant decrease in conservation related funding. The report outlines the various ways protected areas can clearly define their funding needs and develop a diversified revenue approach. This is now more relevant than ever before. Download the full report here.





